

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/667,201	KREIDL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

**All Participants:**

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Jonathan Myers (Reg. No. 26,963).

**Status of Application: 71**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 4 April 2006**

**Time: 2:23pm**

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Applicants' representative to cancel claim 11 that is directed to an invention non-elected by original presentation.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)